

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Vignina 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO.		
09/982,237	10/19/2001	Hartwig Lange	214799US0CONT	8220	
22850	7590 05/12/2003				
OBLON, SPIVAK, MCCLELLAND, MAIER & NEUSTADT, P.C.			EXAMINER		
	1940 DUKE STREET ALEXANDRIA, VA 22314			GORR, RACHEL F	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	•		1711		
			DATE MAILED: 05/12/2003		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			ete			
	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Advisory Action	09/982,237	LANGE ET AL.				
·	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Rachel F. Gorr	1711				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe		•				
THE REPLY FILED 01 May 2003 FAILS TO PLACE TH Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1 condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appet Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	void abandonment of this application in the same of this application in the same of the sa	cation. A proper report can place the application of the capplication of the capplicat	ply to a cation in			
PERIOD FOR RE	PLY [check either a) or b)]					
a) The period for reply expires <u>3</u> months from the mailing date of						
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).						
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The dathave been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extensions of CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three most patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	sion and the corresponding amount of the I statutory period for reply originally set in	e fee. The appropriate ext the final Office action; or	tension fee under (2) as set forth in			
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.						
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because:						
(a) They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);						
(b) ☐ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);						
(c) they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or						
(d) they present additional claims without cancel NOTE:	ing a corresponding number of	finally rejected clain	ms.			
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection.	ction(s):					
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	· · ·	separate, timely file	d amendment			
5. ☑ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☒ request fo application in condition for allowance because: see		sidered but does NO	OT place the			
 6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered berraised by the Examiner in the final rejection. 		to issues which we	ere newly			
 7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims we 			and an			
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		• •				
Claim(s) allowed:						
Claim(s) objected to:						
Claim(s) rejected:						
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:						
8. \square The proposed drawing correction filed on is	a) ☐ approved or b) ☐ disap	proved by the Exan	niner.			
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Stateme	nt(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s).					
10.⊠ Other: Interview Summary attached.						

Application/Control Number: 09/982,237

Art Unit: 1711

Attachment to Advisory:

The applicants argue that Burkhardt's method won't yield mixtures of only blocked hydrophilic and blocked hydrophobic polyisocyanate. In example 15, after reacting the 1.125 moles of diisocyanate with 2.05 moles of caprolactam, 1.6 wt% of NCO remains unreacted, which is 0.24 moles of NCO, or 21 mole % of the diisocyanate would be partially blocked and 79 mole % would be fully blocked. After reaction with dimethylolpropionic acid, a hypothetical mixture of 79 moles of fully blocked hydrophophobic diisocyanate (mole wt. 540) and 11.5 moles of hydrophilic blocked diisocyanate (molecular weight 988). This mixture would be about 21 wt. % blocked hydrophilic diisocyanate and about 45 wt.% solids in water. When an amount of blocking agent that can block more than 50 % of the NCO groups, but not enough to fully block, is added to a diisocyanate, a mixture of completely blocked and half blocked results. This is the same as the applicant's invention

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Rachel F. Gorr whose telephone number is 703-308-3608. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon., Tues., Thurs., Fri., from 7:00 AM to 5:30 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Jim Seidleck can be reached on 703-308-2462. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-872-9310 for regular communications and 703-872-9311 for After Final communications.

Art Unit: 1711

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0661.

R.G. May 8, 2003

> RACHEL GORR PRIMARY EXAMINER